

CILA MARKING CRITERIA

Mark	KNOWLEDGE	APPLICATION	EVALUATION
<u>100</u>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Distinction</u></p> <p>To get 100 the candidate needs to show that they have fully understood the points in question. They are able to demonstrate knowledge by reference to recognised key substantiating material.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Distinction</u></p> <p>To get 100 the answer must be as if a piece of work had been produced as learning material and could be used as a source of reference.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Distinction</u></p> <p>To get 100 the <i>whole answer</i> should have a critical edge.</p>
<u>85</u>	<p>To get 85 the candidate will also use concepts & vocabulary with skill and confidence.</p> <p>Clearly demonstrate the candidate knows the concept/s and is able to describe them fully and accurately. Shows a full understanding of the principles and practices employed.</p>	<p>To get 85 - Excellent explanation of all aspects of all theories, principles and practices employed relevant to the question.</p> <p>Examples linked to all aspects of all theories and principles under question used and links well described. (This can not be achieved without good explanation of theory).</p>	<p>To get 85 - as for <u>75</u> & also equally well argued in relation to all theory/-ies, principles and practices.</p> <p>Clear assessment of strengths & weaknesses of the theory/-ies principles and practices and supported by well-reasoned arguments.</p> <p>All theories, principles and practices considered. One may be in more depth than the other, where appropriate.</p>
<u>75</u>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Distinction</u></p> <p>Knowledge clearly stated and accurate, even if not all elements are described equally fully.</p> <p>Shows good understanding of the principles where appropriate.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Distinction</u></p> <p>Strong links to the principles under question.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Distinction</u></p> <p>Develops an argument to support the proposed action.</p> <p>Includes discussion of the strengths & weaknesses of proposed actions.</p>
<u>60</u>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Pass</u></p> <p>Knowledge is broadly adequate but in parts maybe superficial, some minor errors.</p> <p>Wording/ expression may not be fully articulated in places; e.g. uses technical language but may not show full and complete understanding.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Pass</u></p> <p>Clear links to principles.</p> <p>Some strong, some weak links. For example where examples are requested the candidate is able to give some strong examples but also uses spurious examples.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Pass</u></p> <p>Able to discuss principles and put rational explanations across i.e. for and against.</p> <p>Likely to be able to describe strengths or weaknesses in the arguments.</p> <p>Provides descriptions of application.</p>
<u>50</u>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Fail</u></p> <p>Choice of case law, statutes or other theories may be questionable.</p> <p>Some evidence of knowledge of topic in question.</p> <p>Knowledge is generalised, not complete, contains errors.</p> <p>Displays limited knowledge.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Fail</u></p> <p>Principle described but minimal application to theory.</p> <p>Generalised statements but without clear or explicit links to their experience and practical application.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Fail</u></p> <p>Unable to make any reasoned evaluation. No evidence of understanding why the principle is as it is.</p>
<u>20</u>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Fail</u></p> <p>Case law, statutes, theories used are not appropriate. Very little knowledge of theory or practice displayed.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Fail</u></p> <p>Whilst principle is explained there is no application of the theory.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Fail</u></p> <p>Contains minimal judgment only.</p>
<u>0</u>	<p>A mark of zero is only awarded where there is no knowledge or understanding of any of the aspects raised in the question.</p>	<p>You should only award zero if there is no application at all.</p>	<p>You should only award zero, if there is no evaluation at all.</p>